



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/575,638	05/22/2000	Lisa Anne Laffend	CR9715 US DIV1	1504
23906	7590 06/08/2004		EXAMINER	
	T DE NEMOURS AND O	ROBINSON, HOPE A		
220.101.11	ENT RECORDS CENTER LL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1653	
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/575,638	LAFFEND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Hope A. Robinson	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2,6 and 31 is/are pending in the appliance of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2, 6, 31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.					
••	ar.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal C 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 17, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Disposition

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-30 and 32-34 have been canceled. Claims 2 and 31 are amended. Claims 2, 6 and 31 are pending and under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1653

For clarity claims 2 and 6 should delete the extraneous "and" recited in the claims, for example, the language recited in these claims is "encoding dhaB1, dhaB2, and dhaB3 and dhaT", the claims should be amended to recite "encoding dhaB1, dhaB2, dhaB3 and dhaT".

The Basis For Non-Statutory Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/575,638

Art Unit: 1653

- 5. Claims 2, 6 and 31 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,013,494.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application states at least one exogenous gene encoding a glycerol dehydratase from Klebsiella or Citrobacter is used, whereas the claims of the patent state that at least one of four specifically recited genes including a dehydratase gene is used (see for example claim 1 of the patent) and see claims 2-3 of the patent where the same organism is used.

 Therefore, the instant claims are considered obvious in light of the patented claims; the claims of the patent are generic to the instant claims. Therefore, the instant claims are an obvious variation of the patented claims.
- 6. The response filed February 17, 2004 and April 2, 2004 have been considered. Note that the rejections of record have been withdrawn based on the amendments to the claims and arguments presented, except for the above Obvious-type Double Patenting rejection under 35 U.S. C. 103 for the reasons stated above. In addition, the response on page 7 provides continuity information (i.e. this application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/030,601) and then on page 10, it is stated that "in light of the above discussion, applicant respectfully request reconsideration of the claims as amended, withdrawal of the rejection, and prompt allowance of the application". Applicant did not address the issues raised in the rejection nor provide statements regarding the association between the continuity data provided and the above ground of rejection, as such the rejection remains. In addition, applicant did not clarify the record as to whether a TD is forth coming as the amendment filed May 2003 indicated that a TD

Art Unit: 1653

was filed (page 4), but none was found, nor did the transmittal sheet list one as being filed. Thus, the rejection of record under 35 U.S.C. 103, Non-Statutory Double Patenting remains.

Note the new grounds of rejections instituted under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reasons stated above.

Conclusion

7. No claims are presently allowable, however, claims 2, 6 and 31 are free of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hope A. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-0957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S.F. Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800

Art Unit: 1653

Hope A. Robinson, MS

Patent Examiner